## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

Shamim Ebrahimi,		§	
	PLAINTIFF,	§	
		§	
V.		§	CASE No. 3:22-CV-1906-E-BK
		§	
MYKILL FIELDS, ET AL.,		§	
DEFENDANTS.		§	

## **JUDGMENT**

The Court has entered its Order Accepting the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge in this case. It is therefore ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that this action is summarily DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

The Court prospectively CERTIFIES that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(3). In support of this certification, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 and n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the Findings and Recommendation, the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983) (per curiam). In the event of an appeal, Plaintiff may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. *See Baugh*, 117 F.3d at 202; FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a) governs the time to appeal an order. A timely notice of appeal must be filed even if the district court certifies an appeal as not taken in good faith.

The Clerk of the Court is **directed** to close this case.

SO ORDERED: January 27, 2023.

Ada Brown

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE